

Note on Elementary Analysis II (2019-20)

4. POWER SERIES

Throughout this section, let

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \quad \dots\dots\dots (*)$$

denote a formal power series, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c \neq 0$ such that $f(c)$ is convergent. Then*

- (i) : $f(x)$ is absolutely convergent for all x with $|x| < |c|$.
- (ii) : f converges uniformly on $[-\eta, \eta]$ for any $0 < \eta < |c|$.

Proof. For Part (i), note that since $f(c)$ is convergent, then $\lim a_n c^n = 0$. So there is a positive integer N such that $|a_n c^n| \leq 1$ for all $n \geq N$. Now if we fix $|x| < |c|$, then $|x/c| < 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| |x^n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |a_n| |x^n| + \sum_{n \geq N} |a_n c^n| |x/c|^n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |a_n| |x^n| + \sum_{n \geq N} |x/c|^n < \infty.$$

So Part (i) follows.

Now for Part (ii), if we fix $0 < \eta < |c|$, then $|a_n x^n| \leq |a_n \eta^n|$ for all n and for all $x \in [-\eta, \eta]$. On the other hand, we have $\sum_n |a_n \eta^n| < \infty$ by Part (i). So f converges uniformly on $[-\eta, \eta]$ by the M -test. The proof is finished. \square

Remark 4.2. *In Lemma 4.9(ii), notice that if $f(c)$ is convergent, it does not imply f converges uniformly on $[-c, c]$ in general.*

For example, $f(x) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$. Then $f(-1)$ is convergent but $f(1)$ is divergent.

Definition 4.3. *Call the set $\text{dom } f := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \text{ is convergent}\}$ the domain of convergence of f for convenience. Let $0 \leq r := \sup\{|c| : c \in \text{dom } f\} \leq \infty$. Then r is called the radius of convergence of f .*

Remark 4.4. *Notice that by Lemma 4.9, then the domain of convergence of f must be the interval with the end points $\pm r$ if $0 < r < \infty$.*

When $r = 0$, then $\text{dom } f = \{0\}$.

Finally, if $r = \infty$, then $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}$.

Example 4.5. *If $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^n$, then $r = (0)$. In fact, notice that if we fix a non-zero number x and consider $\lim_n |(n+1)! x^{n+1}| / |n! x^n| = \infty$, then by the ratio test $f(x)$ must be divergent for any $x \neq 0$. So $r = 0$ and $\text{dom } f = (0)$.*

Example 4.6. *Let $f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n / n^n$. Notice that we have $\lim_n |x^n / n^n|^{1/n} = 0$ for all x . So the root test implies that $f(x)$ is convergent for all x and then $r = \infty$ and $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}$.*

Example 4.7. *Let $f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n / n$. Then $\lim_n |x^{n+1} / (n+1)| \cdot |n/x^n| = |x|$ for all $x \neq 0$. So by the ratio test, we see that if $|x| < 1$, then $f(x)$ is convergent and if $|x| > 1$, then $f(x)$ is divergent. So $r = 1$. Also, it is known that $f(1)$ is divergent but $f(-1)$ is convergent. Therefore, we have $\text{dom } f = [-1, 1)$.*

Example 4.8. Let $f(x) = \sum x^n/n^2$. Then by using the same argument of Example 4.7, we have $r = 1$. On the other hand, it is known that $f(\pm 1)$ both are convergent. So $\text{dom } f = [-1, 1]$.

Lemma 4.9. With the notation as above, if $r > 0$, then f converges uniformly on $(-\eta, \eta)$ for any $0 < \eta < r$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1 at once. □

Remark 4.10. Note that the Example 4.7 shows us that f may not converge uniformly on $(-r, r)$. In fact let f be defined as in Example 4.7. Then f does not converges on $(-1, 1)$. In fact, if we let $s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$, then for any positive integer n and $0 < x < 1$, we have

$$|s_{2n}(x) - s_n(x)| = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{2n}.$$

From this we see that if n is fixed, then $|s_{2n}(x) - s_n(x)| \rightarrow 1/2$ as $x \rightarrow 1^-$. So for each n , we can find $0 < x < 1$ such that $|s_{2n}(x) - s_n(x)| > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$. Thus f does not converges uniformly on $(-1, 1)$ by the Cauchy Theorem.

Proposition 4.11. With the notation as above, let $\ell = \overline{\lim} |a_n|^{1/n}$ or $\lim \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}$ provided it exists.

Then

$$r = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\ell} & \text{if } 0 < \ell < \infty; \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell = \infty; \\ \infty & \text{if } \ell = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.12. With the notation as above if $0 < r \leq \infty$, then $f \in C^\infty(-r, r)$. Moreover, the k -derivatives $f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{n \geq k} a_k n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)x^{n-k}$ for all $x \in (-r, r)$.

Proof. Fix $c \in (-r, r)$. By Lemma 4.9, one can choose $0 < \eta < r$ such that $c \in (-\eta, \eta)$ and f converges uniformly on $(-\eta, \eta)$.

It needs to show that the k -derivatives $f^{(k)}(c)$ exists for all $k \geq 0$. Consider the case $k = 1$ first.

If we consider the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n x^n)' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$, then it also has the same radius r because $\lim_n |n a_n|^{1/n} = \lim_n |a_n|^{1/n}$. This implies that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$ converges uniformly on $(-\eta, \eta)$. Therefore, the restriction $f|_{(-\eta, \eta)}$ is differentiable. In particular, $f'(c)$ exists and $f'(c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n c^{n-1}$.

So the result can be shown inductively on k . □

Proposition 4.13. With the notation as above, suppose that $r > 0$. Then we have

$$\int_0^x f(t) dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^x a_n t^n dt = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} a_n x^{n+1}$$

for all $x \in (-r, r)$.

Proof. Fix $0 < x < r$. Then by Lemma 4.9 f converges uniformly on $[0, x]$. Since each term $a_n t^n$ is continuous, the result follows. □

Theorem 4.14. (Abel) : With the notation as above, suppose that $0 < r$ and $f(r)$ (or $f(-r)$) exists. Then f is continuous at $x = r$ (resp. $x = -r$), that is $\lim_{x \rightarrow r^-} f(x) = f(r)$.

Proof. Note that by considering $f(-x)$, it suffices to show that the case $x = r$ holds. Assume $r = 1$.

Notice that if f converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$, then f is continuous at $x = 1$ as desired. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f(1)$ is convergent, then there is a positive integer such that

$$|a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+p}| < \varepsilon$$

for $n \geq N$ and for all $p = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that for $n \geq N$; $p = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+p}(x) - s_n(x) &= a_{n+1}x^{n+1} + a_{n+2}x^{n+1} + a_{n+3}x^{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+p}x^{n+1} \\ &\quad + a_{n+2}(x^{n+2} - x^{n+1}) + a_{n+3}(x^{n+2} - x^{n+1}) + \dots + a_{n+p}(x^{n+2} - x^{n+1}) \\ (4.1) \quad &\quad + a_{n+3}(x^{n+3} - x^{n+2}) + \dots + a_{n+p}(x^{n+3} - x^{n+2}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + a_{n+p}(x^{n+p} - x^{n+p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in [0, 1]$, $|x^{n+k+1} - x^{n+k}| = x^{n+k} - x^{n+k+1}$. So the Eq.4.1 implies that

$$|s_{n+p}(x) - s_n(x)| \leq \varepsilon(x_{n+1} + (x^{n+1} - x^{n+2}) + (x^{n+2} - x^{n+3}) + \dots + (x^{n+p-1} - x^{n+p})) = \varepsilon(2x^{n+1} - x^{n+p}) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

So f converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$ as desired.

Finally for the general case, we consider $g(x) := f(rx) = \sum_n a_n r^n x^n$. Note that $\lim_n |a_n r^n|^{1/n} = 1$ and $g(1) = f(r)$. Then by the case above, we have shown that

$$f(r) = g(1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow r^-} f(x).$$

The proof is finished. □

Remark 4.15. In Remark 4.10, we have seen that f may not converge uniformly on $(-r, r)$. However, in the proof of Abel's Theorem above, we have shown that if $f(\pm r)$ both exist, then f converges uniformly on $[-r, r]$ in this case.

5. REAL ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Proposition 5.1. Let $f \in C^\infty(a, b)$ and $c \in (a, b)$. Then for any $x \in (a, b) \setminus \{c\}$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\xi = \xi(x, n)$ between c and x such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (x - c)^k + \int_c^x \frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!} (x - t)^n dt$$

Call $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (x - c)^k$ (may not be convergent) the Taylor series of f at c .

Proof. It is easy to prove by induction on n and the integration by part. □

Definition 5.2. A real-valued function f defined on (a, b) is said to be real analytic if for each $c \in (a, b)$, one can find $\delta > 0$ and a power series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k (x - c)^k$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k (x - c)^k \quad \dots \dots \dots (*)$$

for all $x \in (c - \delta, c + \delta) \subseteq (a, b)$.

Remark 5.3.

(i) : Concerning about the definition of a real analytic function f , the expression (*) above is uniquely determined by f , that is, each coefficient a_k 's is uniquely determined by f . In fact, by Proposition 4.12, we have seen that $f \in C^\infty(a, b)$ and

$$a_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} \quad \dots\dots\dots (**)$$

for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

(ii) : Although every real analytic function is C^∞ , the following example shows that the converse does not hold.

Define a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & \text{if } x \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

One can directly check that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. So if f is real analytic, then there is $\delta > 0$ such that $a_k = 0$ for all k by the Eq.(**) above and hence $f(x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in (-\delta, \delta)$. It is absurd.

(iii) **Interesting Fact** : Let D be an open disc in \mathbb{C} . A complex analytic function f on D is similarly defined as in the real case. However, we always have: f is complex analytic if and only if it is C^∞ .

Proposition 5.4. Suppose that $f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(x-c)^k$ is convergent on some open interval I centered at c , that is $I = (c - r, c + r)$ for some $r > 0$. Then f is analytic on I .

Proof. We first note that $f \in C^\infty(I)$. By considering the translation $x - c$, we may assume that $c = 0$. Now fix $z \in I$. Now choose $\delta > 0$ such that $(z - \delta, z + \delta) \subseteq I$. We are going to show that

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{j!} (x - z)^j.$$

for all $x \in (z - \delta, z + \delta)$.

Notice that $f(x)$ is absolutely convergent on I . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(x - z + z)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-j+1)}{j!} (x - z)^j z^{k-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{k \geq j} k(k-1)\dots(k-j+1)a_k z^{k-j} \right) \frac{(x - z)^j}{j!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{j!} (x - z)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in (z - \delta, z + \delta)$. The proof is finished. □

Example 5.5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $(1 + x)^\alpha$ is defined by $e^{\alpha \ln(1+x)}$ for $x > -1$. Now for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!} & \text{if } k \neq 0; \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$f(x) := (1+x)^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k$$

whenever $|x| < 1$.

Consequently, $f(x)$ is analytic on $(-1, 1)$.

Proof. Notice that $f^{(k)}(x) = \alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)(1+x)^{\alpha-k}$ for $|x| < 1$. Fix $|x| < 1$. Then by Proposition 5.1, for each positive integer n we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k + \int_0^x \frac{f^{(n)}(t)}{(n-1)!} (x-t)^{n-1} dt$$

So by the mean value theorem for integrals, for each positive integer n , there is ξ_n between 0 and x such that

$$\int_0^x \frac{f^{(n)}(t)}{(n-1)!} (x-t)^{n-1} dt = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi_n)}{(n-1)!} (x-\xi_n)^{n-1} x$$

Now write $\xi_n = \eta_n x$ for some $0 < \eta_n < 1$ and $R_n(x) := \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi_n)}{(n-1)!} (x-\xi_n)^{n-1} x$. Then

$$R_n(x) = (\alpha-n+1) \binom{\alpha}{n-1} (1+\eta_n x)^{\alpha-n} (x-\eta_n x)^{n-1} x = (\alpha-n+1) \binom{\alpha}{n-1} x^n (1+\eta_n x)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1-\eta_n}{1+\eta_n x}\right)^{n-1}.$$

We need to show that $R_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is the Taylor series of f centered at 0 converges to f . By the Ratio Test, it is easy to see that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha-k+1) \binom{\alpha}{k} y^k$ is convergent as $|y| < 1$.

This tells us that $\lim_n |(\alpha-n+1) \binom{\alpha}{n} x^n| = 0$.

On the other hand, note that we always have $0 < 1-\eta_n < 1+\eta_n x$ for all n because $x > -1$. Thus, we can now conclude that $R_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| < 1$. The proof is finished. Finally the last assertion follows from Proposition 5.4 at once. The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

(Chi-Wai Leung) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG
Email address: cwleung@math.cuhk.edu.hk